Northern District of California

| UNITED STATES | DISTRICT COURT |
|--|--|
| | ICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| NORTHERN DISTR | ICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| HEATHER QUINN, et al., | Case No. 16-cv-03080-WHO |
| Plaintiffs, | |
| V. | REFERRAL FOR PURPOSE OF DETERMINING RELATIONSHIP |
| CORDIS CORPORATION, | Re: Dkt. No. 29 |
| Defendant. | |
| Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(c) thi | s case and following cases are hereby REFERRED |
| | • |
| to the Honorable Susan Illston for consideration | whether the cases are related to <i>Dunson</i> , et al. v. |
| Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16-cv-03076-SI: | |
| Resovsky v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16-cv | -03082-EMC |
| Grant, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16 | -cv-03083-HSG |
| Herbert, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:1 | 6-cv-03085-JST |
| Holden, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:1 | 6-cv-03087-TEH |
| Oehring, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 4: | 16-cv-03088-JSW |
| Barber, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:10 | 6-cv-03086-JD |
| Sutton, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16 | -cv-04012-VC |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| Dated: July 27, 2016 | Winde |
| | WILLIAM H. ORRICK |
| | United States District Judge |